

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2010-015102

05/15/2015

HON. RANDALL H. WARNER

CLERK OF THE COURT  
K. Ballard  
Deputy

FORT MCDOWELL YAVAPAI NATION, et al. LEO R BEUS

v.

STEPTOE & JOHNSON L L P, et al.

GARY L BIRNBAUM

JOHN DANIEL CAMPBELL

CONFERENCE

**Courtroom: ECB-512**

2:40 p.m. This is the time set for a telephonic conference to discuss a discovery dispute, set at the request of counsel. Plaintiffs Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, Fort McDowell Enterprises, LLC, and We-Ka-Jassa Investment Fund, LLC (collectively, "Plaintiffs") are represented (telephonically) by Counsel Thomas A. Connelly and Thomas A. Gilson (appearing in place of Leo R. Beus). Defendants Steptoe & Johnson, LLP, Nancy White and Ralph Guerin (collectively, the "Steptoe Defendants") are represented (telephonically) by Counsel Scot L. Claus (appearing in place of Gary L. Birnbaum). Defendants Lawrence E. Bloom, Larry D. Schnepf and Ringel Valuation Services, Inc. (collectively, the "Appraisal Defendants") are represented (telephonically) by Counsel Angela L. Potts (appearing in place of John Daniel Campbell).

A record of the proceedings is made by audio and/or videotape in lieu of a court reporter.

Counsel for the Steptoe Defendants advises the court that he requested this conference because the parties disagree as to whether Plaintiffs' Motion for Protective Order is moot based on the court's recent ruling regarding Defendants' Motion for Sanctions.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2010-015102

05/15/2015

Plaintiffs' counsel advises the court that they believe the portion of the Motion for Protective Order regarding the regular council meetings is moot; however, the remaining issues raised in the Motion are still relevant.

Counsel for the Steptoe Defendants advises the court that the response to the Motion for Protective Order will now have to be redrafted to address the remaining issues only. Based thereon, counsel requests that the time for response be calculated based on the filing date of Plaintiffs' May 13, 2015 Second Amended and Supplemented Separate Statement of Counsel in Support of Motion for Protective Order or from today's date.

As counsel have not conferred regarding the timeframe for response, the court will not rule on this issue today. Counsel should confer in an attempt to resolve this issue. If counsel cannot resolve the issue, either party may file a motion.

2:47 p.m. Matter concludes.